



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,936	01/29/2004	Yuval Barkan	P-9488-US	1872

7590
Eitan Law Group
C/O LandonIP, Inc.
Suite 450
1700 Diagonal Road
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

HUYNH, CHUCK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 6697352; hereinafter Ludwig) in view of Turunen (US 6477644).**

Regarding claim 21, Ludwig discloses in a cellular network system (Fig. 6: GSM), an interface unit comprising a first interface functionally connected with at least one management element of the cellular network (Fig. 6), a second interface functionally connected to the public internet and adapted to provide access to one or more cellular network services for entities which are connected to the Internet and are authorized by

the cellular network system (using authentication protocol is known for authorizing subscribers to their services: Col 6, line 46-50; Fig. 6; Col 2, line 61 – Col 3, line 1-35).

To further be clear on the limitation of a second interface functionally connected to the public internet and adapted to provide access to one or more cellular network services for entities which are connected to the Internet and are authorized by the cellular network system (Abstract; Fig. 2, 3; Col 6, lines 28-40).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Turunen's disclosure to provide security for services.

Regarding claim 22, Ludwig discloses the interface according to claim 21, wherein said management unit is a base station controller (BSC: Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 23, Ludwig discloses the interface according to claim 21, wherein said management unit is a mobile switching service center (MSC: Fig. 6)

Regarding claim 24, Ludwig discloses the interface according to claim 21, wherein said management unit is a high level control (Fig. 7: HDLC; Col 3, lines 53-60).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-7866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/765,936
Art Unit: 2617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chuck Huynh



DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600